

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE'
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE 10/770,913 02/02/2004 019530-000720US 1683 William H. White **EXAMINER** 20350 11/17/2004 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP NGUYEN, DONGHAI D TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **EIGHTH FLOOR** SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834 3729

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			W	
٠.	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	10/770,913	WHITE, WILLIAM	WHITE, WILLIAM H.	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Donghai D. Nguyen	3729		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely THS from the mailing date of this co	/. mmunication.	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u>				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4)  Claim(s) 21-29 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withden 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 21-29 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	opplication No received in this National	Stage	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3-29/04.	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO	O-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/770,913

Art Unit: 3729

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II (Claims 21-29) and cancellation of Group I & III (claims 19-20 and 35-38) in the reply filed on September 09, 2004 is acknowledged.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 21-3 and 25-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 5,208,976 to Bess et al in view of US Patent 5,466,117 to Resler et al.

Regarding claims 21 and 22, Bess et al disclose a system for using within a surface mount production line having a conveyor for receiving a printed circuit board, and for moving the printed circuit board through the surface mount production line, the system comprising: a concurrent programming system (3) containing first and second programming sites (340-342), a pick and place system (2) for picking up first and second electronic devices (501, 511, 512) from one or more tray shuttles (5), and for placing the first and second electronic devices within the first and second programming sites, respectively; and a central control unit (1) for communicating with the conveyor (7), the concurrent programming system (3), and the pick and place system (2), the central control unit directing the conveyor to move the printed circuit board

Art Unit: 3729

permitting the pick and place system to place the first and second electronic devices on the printed circuit board after the devices are programmed (Col. 6, line 60 to Col. 7, line 20); except that Bess et al do not disclose the first and second electronic devices being programmable in a concurrent manner and independent of each other.

Resler et al teach the step of programming the first and second electronic concurrently and independent of each other (Col. 6, lines 46-48) for increasing the number of devices programmed within a period of time (Col. 1, lines 40-43). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bess et al to have the first and second electronic devices being programmable in a concurrent manner and independent of each other as taught by Resler et al for increasing the number of devices programmed within a period of time.

Regarding claim 23, Bess et al, as modified, disclose a step of moving the pick and place device in three dimensions except moving the pick and place device along a track or rail. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a track or rail system to mobilize the pick and place device, because Applicant has not disclosed that utilize a track or rail system provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention equally well with either the robotic structure taught by Bess et al or the claimed track or rail because both perform the same function of pick and place a programmable electrical device. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to further modify Bess et al. to obtain the invention as specified in claim 23.

Application/Control Number: 10/770,913 Page 4

Art Unit: 3729

Regarding claim 25, Bess et al disclose four parallel asynchronous processes (control 1, robotic 2, programming site 3, and assembly line 6) upon which operations of the system depend.

Regarding claim 26-28, Bess et al disclose the pick and place device includes self-teaching capability, controller and the system (1) making a request provides the location of which to pick up a device (inherent, Col. 7, lines 10-20).

Regarding claim 29, see Bess et al's Col. 3, lines 25-40 or Col. 3, line 61 to Col. 4, line 3.

4. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bess et al in view of Resler et al as applied to claim 21 above, and further in view of US Patent 3,975,261 to Beck.

Bess et al as modified do not disclose the one or more sensors for detecting when the conveyor delivers a printed circuit board to the system; Beck discloses one or more sensors (20) for detecting the position of an object on the conveyor (Abstract). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modified Bess et al to have one or more sensors for detecting when the conveyor delivers a printed circuit board to the system as taught by Beck for detecting the position of an object on the conveyor.

### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/770,913 Page 5

Art Unit: 3729

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donghai D. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-7859. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (9:00-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter D. Vo can be reached on (703) 308-1789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DN

A. DEXTER TUGBANG
PRIMARY EXAMINER